



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/805,796

03/22/2004

James F. McGuckin JR.

1267

1069

7590  
NEIL D. GERSHON  
REX MEDICAL  
1011 HIGH RIDGE RD  
Stamford, CT 06905

09/11/2007

EXAMINER

SONNETT, KATHLEEN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3731

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/11/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/805,796	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MCGUCKIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Kathleen Sonnett	<b>Art Unit</b> 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kathleen Sonnett. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Niel Gershon. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 18 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bosma (US ) Palmer (US 5,782,748) and Palermo (US 5,800,455).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
GLENN K. DAWSON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and examiner discussed the rejection of claims 18 and 20 over the above listed patents. The examiner explained how Palmer was being used as an alternative releasable coupling that one skilled in the art would be motivated to use for providing a traction force between a retrieval device and a filter. The coupling of Palermo was also discussed and applicant and examiner agreed that Palermo fails to show the annular surface of claim 18 or the curved wall spaced axially from the hook to provide a camming surface for the retrieval sheath as required by claim 20.